

REMARKS


In the Office Action under reply, the Examiner correctly noted that claims 1-8 and 13-16 and 24-25 that were purportedly re-presented in their original form in response to the restriction requirement of March 22, 2005 actually claimed an invention that differed from the invention claimed in the originally filed claims.

Applicants' representative apologizes for the submission of incorrect claims and the confusion that may have been caused thereby. It was Applicants' intent to submit original claims 1-8 and 13-16, together with claims 24 and 25 (the latter claims being rewritten in dependent form). Apparently, because of an error in the electronic files stored by Applicants' representative, claims 1-8 and 13-16, as submitted on April 25, 2005, clearly were not the claims intended to be submitted.

The present amendment corrects the error noted above, and presents original claims 1-8 and 13-16, together with rewritten claims 24 and 25. It is believed the claims presented herein are directed to the invention originally claimed and elected as the Group I claims; and examination on the merits of claims 1-8, 13-16 and 24-25 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP

By:


William S. Frommer
Reg. No. 25,506
(212) 588-0800